

M/R: Meeting between Mr. Allen Dulles and Dr. BRUECKNER

At 1010 on 12 June 1956 [] introduced Dr. BRUECKNER to Mr. Dulles in the latter's office in the Administration Building. No other persons were present.

Mr. Dulles said that he hoped BRUECKNER was having a pleasant stay and receiving some worthwhile briefings. He said that if there was anything he could do for BRUECKNER that he would be glad to oblige. BRUECKNER thanked Dulles for the fine reception he had been given everywhere and said that he was most grateful for all that Mr. Dulles and his organization had already done for him.

Dulles said that he knew BRUECKNER had come over to pave the way for the Chancellor, and remarked that he was having dinner with the Chancellor that night.

BRUECKNER said that he was trying to mold his organization into a workable group and that he hoped soon to have some organization which might compare favorably with the units he had seen in the states. Dulles pointed out that he of course was not responsible for any of the internal security of the country, but that his organization did work closely with the FBI.

He asked how many persons were in BRUECKNER's group. BRUECKNER replied that they numbered 180, having recently been increased by 60. BRUECKNER stated that his work entailed protection of federal officials, but that his other work involved investigation of treason and high treason cases.

Dulles replied that he was acquainted with BRUECKNER's work and asked what the present status of Otto JOHN was. BRUECKNER replied that JOHN was in jail in Heidelberg and that the date for his trial had not as yet been set. Mrs. JOHN was trying to enlist aid for her husband in various quarters. Dulles asked if she was in Germany or England. Brueckner said that she went from one place to the other. However, he felt that JOHN was an immature person who should never have been given a position of responsibility. He added that when JOHN was brought to trial, it was hoped to have Wolfgang WOHLGEMUTH as one of the witnesses. BRUECKNER said that the SG had letters which WOHLGEMUTH had written to persons in the West in which WOHLGEMUTH stated that JOHN's version of his flight East was wrong. According to the letters, JOHN was not drugged nor was he taken to the East involuntarily. BRUECKNER believed that WOHLGEMUTH would come West to testify if he were sure that he would not be imprisoned.

Dulles asked what information BRUECKNER had on the whereabouts and general activity of Schmitt-Wittmack. BRUECKNER said that S-W was now with the Handelskammer in East Berlin and doing very well for himself. BRUECKNER added that investigation on S-W indicated that he was a Commie as a youth but that there were no indications of Commie sympathies as he matured. However, there was an indication that S-W needed money about one year before he defected, and BRUECKNER believes that it is possible

that S-W may have been recruited at that time.

Dulles reverted to the JOHN case briefly and was about to make a comment on what he hoped would happen in the case, and then he checked himself and said that he had better not make any statements or express any opinions.

Dullies reiterated that he was happy to have met BRUECKNER and that if there was anything he could do to make his trip here more profitable and pleasant, he hoped that BRUECKNER would not hesitate to call on him.

BRUECKNER thanked Dulles for the courtesies already extended, said that he was most pleased with his briefings, and bid him good bye.

The Dulles-BRUECKNER meeting was conducted in English. BRUECKNER's words were well-chosen and since he seemed a little concerned that his English may have been a bit faulty, [] assured him that he need have no worry about handling the English language.

Prior to the meeting with Dulles, the secretary had used [] true name [] announcing that Dulles was ready to meet Dr. BRUECKNER. Since [] and BRUECKNER were talking about cars at the moment, it is doubtful that BRUECKNER caught the name [] []

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the investigation. The investigator must identify the problem and the scope of the investigation. The next step is the collection of data. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the investigation. The investigator must collect data from the sources that are available. The next step is the analysis of the data. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the investigation. The investigator must analyze the data and determine the results of the investigation. The final step is the presentation of the results. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the investigation. The investigator must present the results of the investigation to the appropriate authorities.

THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SUBJECT

WILLIAM J. BRYAN, JR., 1000 N. 10TH ST., APT. 10, DENVER, CO. 80202

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

1. The first group is the "old guard" of the party, who have been in the party for a long time and are now in their 60s and 70s. They are the ones who have been in the party since the 1950s and 1960s, and they are the ones who have been in the party since the 1950s and 1960s.

RECEIVED: 1974-10-10

01000 00 00000000 00 00 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
00 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000

NAME: _____ DOB: _____ L: _____ M: _____ S: _____